## MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE (GRC)

Name of Project:

Supply/Repair of Stationery, Other Items, Spare Parts & Purchase of IT & Networking Equipment's, Furniture etc

#### LOT A: Supply of Stationery Items

1,	Name of Procuring Agency:	Information Technology Department
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope SSTE under Rule 39(b) GB PPRA
3.	PPRA REF No: NIT	TSE-202507091408
4.	Date & Time of Meeting:	11th August 2025 at 01:00 PM.
	D	DETAIL OF EVALUATION
S.#	Firm / Contractor Name	Remarks
1	M/S Capricorn Enterprises	The Grievance Redressal Committee, after thorough examination of the grievance and hearing in person the contractor's statement. As per stance of the contractor that he provided the documented evidence of his shop as indicated in the provided documents. However, during presence in the GRC committee, the contractor failed to provide the documented evidence of his shop address as shown in hid bid. Hence, the GRC unanimously upheld the decision of the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC).
2	M/S Azhan Stationers	The Grievance Redressal Committee, after thorough examination of the grievance and hearing in person the contractor's statement. As per stance of the contractor that he provided the documented evidence of his shop as indicated in the provided documents. However, during presence in the GRC committee, the contractor failed to provide the documentary evidence of his shop address as shown in bid. Hence, the GRC unanimously upheld the decision of the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC).

## LOT B: Repair & Supply of Vehicle Spare Parts

1,	Name of Procuring Agency:	Information Technology Department
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope SSTE under Rule 39(b) GB PPRA
3.	PPRA REF No: NIT	TSE-202507091408
4.	Date & Time of Meeting:	11th August 2025 at 01:00 PM.
	D	ETAIL OF EVALUATION
S.#	Firm / Contractor Name	Remarks
1	M/S Ahmed Autos	The Grievance Redressal Committee, after thorough examination of the grievance and found that the contractor has not qualified the prerequisite marks set by the procurement

Md



committee. Hence, the GRC unanimously upheld
the decision of the Technical Evaluation Committee
(TEC).

# LOT C: Supply of Other Items

1,	Name of Procuring Agency:	Information Technology Department
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope SSTE under Rule 39(b)
		GB PPRA
3.	PPRA REF No: NIT	TSE-202507091408
4.	Date & Time of Meeting:	11th August 2025 at 01:00 PM.
	DETA	IL OF EVALUATION
S.#	Firm / Contractor Name	Remarks
		The Grievance Redressal Committee, after
		thorough examination of the grievance and hearing
		the contractor's representation, found that the
		non-blacklisting certificate submitted by the
1	M/S MAZ Enterprises	contractor was unsigned. Hence, the contractor's
		stance is unjustified. Hence, the GRC unanimously
		upheld the decision of the Technical Evaluation
		Committee (TEC).
		The Grievance Redressal Committee, after
		thorough examination of the grievance and hearing
		the contractor's representation, found the
2	M/S Usmania Traders	contractor did not obtain the prerequisite marks
		set by the procurement committee. Hence, the GRC
		unanimously upheld the decision of the Technical
		Evaluation Committee (TEC).
		The Grievance Redressal Committee, after
		thorough examination of the grievance and hearing in person the contractor's statement. As per stance
		of the contractor that he provided the documented
3		evidence of his shop as indicated in the provided
	M/S Crown Traders	documents. However, during presence in the GRC
		committee, the contractor failed to provide the documentary evidence of his shop address as
		shown in bid. Hence, the GRC unanimously upheld
		the decision of the Technical Evaluation Committee
		(TEC).

MIS

Des

Per

#### **LOT G: Electrification & Solarization**

1,	Name of Procuring Agency:	Information Technology Department
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope SSTE under Rule 39(b) GB PPRA
3.	PPRA REF No: NIT	TSE-202507091408
4.	Date & Time of Meeting:	11th August 2025 at 01:00 PM.
	D	ETAIL OF EVALUATION
S.#	Firm / Contractor Name	Remarks
1	M/S Crown Traders	The Grievance Redressal Committee, after thorough examination of the grievance and hearing in person the contractor's statement. As per stance of the contractor that he provided the documented evidence of his shop as indicated in the provided documents. However, during presence in the GRC committee, the contractor failed to provide the documentary evidence of his shop address as shown in bid. Hence, the GRC unanimously upheld the decision of the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC).

Karamat Hussain

AD Procurement / DDO
Information Technology Department
Gilgit-Baltistan
(Member)

Muhammad Johar Khan
Section Officer
Civil Supply & Food Department
Gilgit-Baltistan
(Member)

Riaz Ahmed
Secretary
Information Technology Department
Gilgit-Baltistan
(Chairman)