TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 38 of PP Rules, 2022)

1. Name of Procuring Agency:

C&WD DIAMER

2. Method of Procurement:

OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING

3. Title of Procurement:

METALLING OF ROAD IN CHILAS CITY

1. Tender Inquiry No.:

2. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):

3. Date & Time of Bid Closing:

4. Date & Time of Bid Opening:

5. No of Bids Received: ... 6. Criteria for Bid Evaluation:

Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:

No.EE/AB/Cash/2025-26/947 dated 26th Sept 2025

TSE-202509261910

05-09-2025 (Time 11:30 am)

05-09-2025 (Time 12:00 pm) Thirty Three (33 NOS)

Pre-qualification

Technical

Name of Bidder	Technical	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/
	Marks	Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance
	(if applicable)	as per Rule 38 of PP Rules,2022
	(if applicable)	
1/33 M/s Kifayat Allah & Brothers	61.5	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
2/33 M/s Zulfiqar Ahmad & Sons JV Fida Hussain enterprises	66.95	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
3/33M/s Qasim Khan & Company JV Israil & brothers	72.25	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
4/33 M/s Khan Engineers & Constr. Company PVT ltd	75.5	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
5/33 M/s Faredullah Goher	0	Non responsive as per evaluation criteria
6/33 M/s Arshad & Brothers	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
7/33 M/s Alamgir Builders	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
8/33 M/Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers	60	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
9/33 M/s Five Star Constr	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
10/33 M/s Abdul Rehmat	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
11/33 M/s Seven Star Khinner T&B PVT LTD	74	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
12/33 M/s Raj Constr Company	64	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
13/33 M/s M Ali Jana	76.5	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
14/33 M/s Ameer Haider & Sons	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
15/33 M/s Mehdi Associates	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
16/33 M/s Muhammad Kafeel & Brothers	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
17/33 M/s Aziz & Co Pathan	63	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
18/33 M/s Niamat Khan Perbat engineer	66	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
19/33 M/s KEC Engineering Company	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
20/33 M/s Liaqat Wali & Brothers	60	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
21/33 M/s Fazal Akbar	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
22/33 M/s Bashir Khan & Sons	60	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
3/33 M/s M. Gayour JV Tariq Aziz Gilgit	67.8	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
4/33 M/s Nizam ud Din	74.5	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
5/33 M/s Abdul karim JV Shakoor Rehmat	60.2	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
6/33 M/s Abdul jalal	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
7/33 M/s Safdar Hussain & Brothers	61.5	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
8/33 M/s Muhammad Amin GLT	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
9/33 M/s Abdul Rehman & Sons	60.5	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
0/33 M/s Aurang Zeb & Sons	68.5	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
1/33 M/s Haks & Sons JV MT Jan & Sons	0	Non Responsive as per evaluation criteria
2/33M/s Firduos Ahmad	61.5	Responsive as per evaluation criteria
3/33 M/s Muhammad Ali & Brothers	63	Responsive as per evaluation criteria

Signature:

Official Staffecutive Engineer B&R Division C&WD Diamer
*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

