GOVERNMENT OF GILGIT BALTISTAN
GILGIT-BALTISTAN SECRETARIAT
WATER MANAGEMENT AND IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT, GB
No. SO (Coord)-1(26) WM&I /2026

COMMITTEE (GRC) AGAINST THE TECHNICAL BID EVALUATION PROCESS OF WORK ADP SCHEME TITLE "RECONSTRUCTION OF DAMAGED WATER CHANNEL, MURTAZA ABAD, DISTRICT HUNZA"

The Water Management & Irrigation Secretariat, Gilgit-Baltistan, received the application from the contractor firm, M/S HAK & SONS JV MK Builders and M/S M.Shahid JV Hinal Construction Pvt Ltd, seeking redressal of grievances raised against the technical bid evaluation process of the above-mentioned project. As per the Notification issued vide No.SO-Coord-WM&I-1(5)/2026 dated 12th March 2026 The Secretary, Water Management & Irrigation, Gilgit-Baltistan, has constituted a Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) comprising the following officers:

i. Secretary, Water Management & Irrigation GB (Chairman)
ii. Director, Water Management & Irrigation GB (Member)
iii. Engr.Zia-ul-Hassan WMO WM&I District Gilgit (Member)

The meeting of the Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) was convened under the Chairmanship of the Secretary, Water Management & Irrigation GB, in his office on 23rd November, 2026. The Committee held detailed deliberations on the complaint submitted by the aggrieved bidder regarding the technical bid evaluation process of the above-mentioned work.

BACKGROUND:

The procurement committee consists of the following Members:

1. Engr Naveed Hussain Deputy Director Water Management Hunza	**Chairman**
2. Rep of Secretary Water Management & Irrigation GB	**Member**
3. Rep of Directorate of Water Management & Irrigation GB	**Member**
4. Rep of C&W Department GB	**Member**
5. Rep of LG&RD GB	**Member**

- Notice inviting Technical Proposals from interested firms was published through wide publicity in newspapers and on PPRA vide Notice **No. DOWM&I-DEV-ADP-2024-2025/,** dated **16th October 2026**, with PPRA Reference No. **TSE-202610163237.**
- In response to the advertisement, **04** firms submitted their technical and financial proposals to participate in the bidding process. The Procurement Committee opened the technical bids under the procurement method of "**Single Stage Two Envelope**" in the presence of the bidders.

23/11/2026

CamScanner

- The Technical Bid Evaluation Report was prepared and signed by the Procurement Committee under the Deputy Director Water Management District Hunza, in his capacity as Chairman of the Procurement Committee. Subsequently, the evaluation result was uploaded on PPRA under Reference No. TSE-202611113328 on 11th November, 2026.
- Out of the **04** bidders, two aggrieved bidder, namely M/S HAK & SONS JV MK Builders and M/S M.Shahid JV Hinal Construction Pvt Ltd, filed a complaint to the Chairman GRC against the Technical Bid Evaluation Report. Consequently, the competent authority constituted the Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) vide the above-referred notification.

PROCEEDINGS:

M/S HAK & SONS JV MK Builders

On the basis of the reservations raised by the applicant, the Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) thoroughly examined the bid documents submitted by the aggrieved bidder, M/S HAK & SONS JV MK Builders, and observed the following facts and findings:

FACTS & FINDINGS:

As per the approved NIT, the bidder must secure at least 55% in each sub-category and 60% overall. The price Bids of those contractors who failed to secure the minimum qualifying marks shall be returned unopened. However, upon evaluation of the bid documents, the Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) observed that, in general experience, the marks were to be granted on availability of completion certificates issued by the concerned departments and, in personal capability, the marks were to be granted subject to proof of appointment of engineers/appointment letters/CVs. The bidder obtained 14 marks in general experience on the basis of available work orders and completion certificates, whereas it was mandatory to obtain 24.75 Marks to qualify in the said sub-category.

Furthermore, in personal capabilities, based on available records and online verification, of lead partner M/s HAK & Sons, it was observed that the proof of appointment letters were found expired and the engineers attached with technical proposals did not match the engineers on the online PEC portal, and acquired 0 marks out of 10. Whereas it was mandatory to obtain 5.5 marks to qualify in the said category.

DECISION OF GRC.

- Since M/S HAK & SONS JV MK Builders failed to obtain the required passing marks in General experience and in personal capabilities and failed to qualify for further process, which is mandatory as mentioned above.
- Accordingly, the decision of the Tender Evaluation Committee to disqualify the bidder M/S HAK & SONS JV MK Builders seems justified and is hereby upheld and the committee is allowed to conduct further necessary proceedings.

23/11/2026

M/S M.Shahid JV Hinal Construction.

On the basis of the reservations raised by the applicant, the Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) thoroughly examined the bid documents submitted by the aggrieved bidder, M/S M.Shahid JV Hinal Construction pvt Ltd., and observed the following facts and findings:

CamScanner

FACTS & FINDINGS:

As per the approved NIT, the bidder must fulfil the following mandatory clauses for qualification.

PEC registration Valid up to 30th June 2026 (Category C-6 and above), relevant CE04(ii) Irrigation & Food Control, ME07 (v) Mechanical Works and General Engineering Work CE-11.

During the technical evaluation, it was observed that the associate partner M/S Hinal Construction Pvt Ltd submitted the PEC with an illegible code of ME:07. The Committee observed that the required code ME-07 was missing in the PEC certificate. On the basis of the missing of ME-07 code being not legible one, the tender committee disqualified the associate partner M/S Hinal Construction. As this code was mandatory for further evaluation that's why the committee disqualified for further evaluation.

However, the bidder, with his appeal to GRC, submitted a legible copy of the PEC certificate with code of ME-07, mentioning that he already has the required code ME:07 in his PEC documents. The GRC, however, verified the code through PEC online portal and it was revealed that the bidder has the required code ME-07 in his PEC registration certificate.

DECISION OF GRC.

- As it was clarified that the bidder M/S Hinal Construction has the required PEC Code ME-07 and the same has been verified through the PEC online portal by the GRC, hence the Tender Committee is directed to further evaluate the technical proposal of M/S M.Shahid JV Hinal Construction, in accordance with the rules.
- Accordingly, the decision of the Tender Evaluation Committee to disqualify the bidder M/S M. Shahid JV Hinal Construction has been set aside, and the Tender Committee is directed to further evaluate the technical proposal of M/S M.Shahid JV Hinal Construction on merit.

(Engr.Zia-ul-Hassan)
Water Management Officer
WM&I District Gilgit
Member

Sd/-
(Engr.Shahid Hussain)
Director Water Management
& Irrigation GB
Member

SECRETARY 23/11/2026.
WM&I Secretariat
Gilgit-Baltistan
Chairman

CamScanner